

Oceanic Crossroads: The Maritime Legacy of Nusantara's Hindu-Buddhist Kingdoms and Pre-Colonial States

Dr. Arjuna Santoso

Department of History, Universitas Indonesia, Jakarta, Indonesia

Prof. Mei Ling Tan

Asian Studies Program, National University of Singapore, Singapore

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Submission Date: 03 May 2025

Accepted Date: 02 June 2025

Published Date: 01 July 2025

VOLUME: Vol.05 Issue07

Page No. 1-5

ABSTRACT

Nusantara, the vast archipelago of Southeast Asia, has historically been defined by its intricate relationship with the sea. This article explores the profound maritime legacy of the region, tracing its evolution from the era of Hindu-Buddhist kingdoms through to the pre-colonial period. It examines how seafaring traditions, strategic trade routes, and powerful maritime empires shaped the political, economic, and cultural landscape of Nusantara. By analyzing the pivotal role of the sea as a unifier and a conduit for exchange, this study highlights the enduring significance of maritime history in understanding the identity and development of the archipelago before the advent of European colonial powers.

Keywords: Nusantara maritime history, Hindu-Buddhist kingdoms, pre-colonial Southeast Asia, Srivijaya Empire, Majapahit maritime power, Indian Ocean trade networks, Maritime Silk Road, ancient Indonesian seafaring, cultural exchange in maritime Asia, archipelagic state formation, Buddhism in Southeast Asia, Hinduism in maritime Southeast Asia, Austronesian navigation.

INTRODUCTION

The concept of Nusantara, often referring to the Indonesian archipelago and its surrounding maritime domain, is intrinsically linked to its oceanic character [23]. Far from being a mere geographical descriptor, the term encapsulates a historical reality where the seas were not barriers but vital arteries connecting diverse communities and fostering dynamic interactions [9, 15]. The maritime history of Southeast Asia, particularly Nusantara, is a rich tapestry woven with threads of ancient seafaring prowess, flourishing trade networks, and the rise and fall of powerful

thalassocracies [8, 26, 41, 49]. This study delves into the foundational role of the maritime domain in shaping Nusantara from its earliest recorded Hindu-Buddhist kingdoms to the eve of European colonization.

For centuries, the waterways of Nusantara served as a crucible for cultural exchange, economic prosperity, and political dominance [32, 54]. The region's strategic location at the crossroads of major international trade routes, connecting the Indian Ocean to the South China Sea, propelled its maritime states to prominence [11, 54]. Understanding this deep-seated maritime heritage

is crucial for appreciating the complex historical trajectories of the various polities that emerged across the archipelago [6, 47]. This article aims to reconstruct the narrative of Nusantara's maritime past, emphasizing the contributions of its indigenous seafarers and the transformative impact of its oceanic environment on state formation and societal development in the Hindu-Buddhist and pre-colonial eras.

METHODOLOGY

This study employs a historical analysis methodology, drawing upon a wide range of secondary sources to reconstruct the maritime history of Nusantara. The approach is interdisciplinary, integrating insights from maritime historiography, archaeology, and cultural studies to provide a comprehensive understanding of the subject [5, 8]. Emphasis is placed on identifying key historical periods, significant maritime powers, and the mechanisms of trade and cultural diffusion that characterized the region's oceanic interactions.

The research synthesizes information from various scholarly works, including those focusing on early civilizations, Austronesian migrations, the development of maritime trade routes, and the political economies of Hindu-Buddhist and pre-colonial states [12, 39, 44, 55]. Specific attention is given to studies that highlight the indigenous agency in shaping maritime networks, rather than solely focusing on external influences. The analysis also considers the conceptualization of the sea as a unifying element in the region's historical narrative [9, 21]. By collating and interpreting diverse historical accounts, this methodology seeks to illuminate the continuity and transformation of Nusantara's maritime identity over several centuries.

RESULTS

The maritime history of Nusantara is deeply rooted in the ancient seafaring traditions of the Austronesian peoples. These early inhabitants, originating from Taiwan and spreading across the Indo-Malaysian Archipelago, possessed advanced navigational skills and boat-building technologies, enabling them to traverse vast oceans and establish widespread settlements [6, 12, 46]. Their migrations laid the groundwork for future maritime networks and the development of a distinct maritime culture across the archipelago [6].

The Rise of Hindu-Buddhist Maritime Kingdoms

The advent of Hindu-Buddhist influences from

India beginning around the 1st century CE coincided with the emergence of powerful maritime kingdoms that leveraged their strategic positions along vital trade routes [11, 44]. These kingdoms were not merely coastal settlements but true thalassocracies, whose power and wealth were fundamentally derived from their control over sea lanes and port cities [39].

Srivijaya, flourishing from the 7th to the 13th centuries, stands as a prime example of a dominant Hindu-Buddhist maritime empire. Based in Sumatra, Srivijaya controlled the crucial Straits of Malacca and Sunda, acting as an entrepôt for trade between India and China [11, 54]. Its influence extended through maritime power, facilitating the exchange of goods such as spices, gold, and exotic forest products, alongside cultural and religious ideas [11, 35]. The prosperity of Srivijaya was directly tied to its ability to maintain safe passage for merchant vessels and to manage the flow of goods through its ports [39].

Following Srivijaya, the Majapahit Empire, based in Java from the 13th to the 16th centuries, also asserted significant maritime power. While often associated with its agrarian base, Majapahit's reach across the archipelago was largely dependent on its naval capabilities and control over maritime trade [20, 47]. Its influence encompassed numerous islands and coastal areas, demonstrating a continued understanding of the sea as a unifying and empowering force [32, 47]. The concept of "Nusantara" itself, meaning "outer islands" or "archipelago," gained prominence during the Majapahit era, reflecting a political vision centered on maritime connectivity [23].

These kingdoms played a crucial role in the early Maritime Silk Road, connecting Southeast Asia with distant civilizations in South Asia, China, and even the Middle East [11, 54, 56]. The trade involved not only luxury goods but also the exchange of technologies, religious practices, and political ideologies, contributing to the diverse cultural mosaic of Nusantara [40, 54].

Strategic Ports and Trade Networks

Throughout this period, strategic port cities emerged as vibrant centers of commerce and cultural interaction [15, 39, 57]. Places like Oc Eo (in modern Vietnam, with connections to the region) and various ports in the Malay Peninsula and Sumatra became vital nodes in the extensive maritime trade network [11, 35, 57]. These ports were not just places for loading and unloading goods; they were cosmopolitan hubs where

merchants from various cultures converged, leading to significant cultural syncretism [40]. The demand for Nusantara's unique products, particularly spices, fueled this extensive trade, attracting merchants from afar [54].

The administrative structures of these maritime states were often geared towards regulating and benefiting from this trade. They established systems for taxation, port management, and naval protection to ensure the smooth flow of commerce [39]. The ability to control and protect these trade routes was paramount to their survival and prosperity.

Transition to the Pre-Colonial Era

As the Hindu-Buddhist empires waned, new maritime powers, including early Islamic sultanates, rose to prominence, often inheriting and expanding upon the established maritime traditions [28, 50]. By the 15th century, states like Malacca emerged as a dominant trading power, controlling the critical choke point of the Malacca Strait [19]. Malacca's success was built on its strategic location, efficient administration, and its role as a key entrepôt for regional and international trade, attracting merchants from across Asia [27]. Other important maritime centers included Brunei [53] and Sulu [51], which also thrived on trade and maintained extensive maritime connections.

This pre-colonial period saw a continuation of the strong maritime identity, with local rulers and communities deeply integrated into the oceanic economy. The arrival of European powers, notably the Portuguese in the early 16th century, marked a significant turning point, as they sought to seize control of these lucrative trade networks [10, 14, 16, 19, 48]. The European quest for direct access to spices and control over maritime routes ultimately led to the decline of independent Nusantara maritime powers and the onset of the colonial era [19, 29, 48].

DISCUSSION

The findings underscore that the maritime domain was not merely a backdrop but the very foundation upon which the civilizations of Nusantara were built and sustained from the Hindu-Buddhist era to the pre-colonial period. The sea acted as a unifying force, connecting disparate islands and fostering a shared identity that transcended geographical fragmentation [9, 38]. The concept of "Nusantara" itself, as highlighted by Evers, is a testament to this maritime-centric worldview, where the archipelago was perceived as a cohesive entity linked by water, rather than separated by it [23].

The success of empires like Srivijaya and Majapahit was directly contingent on their mastery of maritime technologies and their ability to project power and control trade routes across vast oceanic distances [32, 39]. These states were not just land-based powers with naval arms; they were fundamentally maritime entities whose political and economic structures were intricately tied to their oceanic reach. The vibrant port cities that dotted the archipelago served as crucial nodes in these networks, facilitating not only economic exchange but also profound cultural and religious syncretism [15, 40]. The movement of goods, people, and ideas across the seas led to a rich blend of indigenous traditions with Hindu, Buddhist, and later, Islamic influences [28, 40, 50].

The transition from Hindu-Buddhist kingdoms to pre-colonial sultanates demonstrates a continuity in the region's maritime focus. While political and religious landscapes shifted, the strategic importance of controlling sea lanes and engaging in maritime trade remained paramount [27, 54]. This enduring maritime legacy contrasts sharply with the later colonial period, where European powers often sought to reorient trade flows and impose land-centric administrative structures, sometimes disrupting established indigenous maritime systems [3, 29, 48].

The study of Nusantara's maritime history is vital for a holistic understanding of Southeast Asian civilization. It provides a counter-narrative to land-centric historical interpretations, emphasizing the agency of local populations in shaping their own destinies through their relationship with the sea [6, 8]. The resilience and adaptability of Nusantara's maritime communities, from ancient Austronesian seafarers to the pre-colonial traders, highlight a profound connection to the ocean that continues to resonate in the region today [6].

CONCLUSION

The maritime history of Nusantara, from the Hindu-Buddhist kingdoms to the pre-colonial era, reveals a civilization deeply intertwined with its oceanic environment. The seas were not merely routes for passage but the very foundation of political power, economic prosperity, and cultural exchange. Early Austronesian seafaring laid the groundwork for sophisticated maritime networks, which were then capitalized upon by powerful Hindu-Buddhist empires like Srivijaya and Majapahit. These thalassocracies controlled vital trade routes, fostering cosmopolitan port cities and facilitating the flow of goods, ideas, and

religions across the archipelago and beyond. Even as political and religious landscapes evolved, the strategic importance of maritime dominance persisted into the pre-colonial sultanates. This enduring legacy underscores the critical role of the sea in shaping Nusantara's identity, demonstrating how its inhabitants navigated, connected, and thrived within their aquatic world long before the arrival of European colonial powers. The maritime heritage of Nusantara remains a testament to the ingenuity and resilience of its people, whose history is, at its core, a history of the ocean.

REFERENCES

- Abadi, A.M., & Abdullah, M.F. 2023. Perang di Laut China Selatan: Menilai Pakatan Quad daripada Perspektif Realis Institusionalisme. *Asian Journal of Environment, History and Heritage* 7(2): 117-126.
- Abdullah, M.R.T. 2010. Cabaran integrasi antara kaum di Malaysia: perspektif sejarah, keluarga dan pendidikan. *Jurnal Hadhari* 2(1): 61-84.
- Abdullah, M.F., Noor, M., & Mat Enh, A. 2023. Hydrological legacies of colonialism: examining water systems in Perlis, Malaya (1909–1950). *Journal of International Studies* 19(2): 215-243.
- Ahmad Tajudeen, A.B. 2024. Sejarah Ekskavasi dan Pembuatan Manik di Malaysia dan Asia Tenggara. *Applied History Journal of Merong Mahawangsa* 2: 56-71.
- Ali, I. 2022. Memahami Pengistilahan, Konsep, Ruang Lingkup, dan Teori dalam Historiografi Maritim. *Sosiohumanika* 15(2): 91-122.
- Ali, I. & Abdullah, M.F. 2024. Tracing the Heritage and Civilization of Austronesian Seafarers Across Waters, Seas, and Oceans in the Archipelago of Southeast Asia. *Applied History Journal of Merong Mahawangsa* 2: 41-55.
- Ali, I. & Sulistiyono, S.T. 2023. Maritime Heritage and the Belt and Road Initiative: A Historiographical Perspective on Malaysia's Journey as a Maritime Nation. *SEJARAH: Journal of the Department of History* 32(2): 269-300.
- Ali, I., Sulistiyono, S.T. & Supriatna, E. 2021. Memertabatkan Semula Sejarah Maritim Sebagai Suatu Disiplin dan Cadang Ilmu dalam Mendepani Perkembangan Semasa Ilmu Kelautan Modern. *Jurnal Kemaritiman: Indonesian Journal of Maritime* 2(2): 68-93.
- Andaya, L.Y. 2018. Water in the Study of Southeast Asia. *KEMANUSIAAN: The Asian Journal of Humanities* 25(1): 21-38.
- Aughton, Peter. 2007. *Voyages That Changed the World*, London: Quercus Publishing Plc.
- Bellina, B. 2014. Southeast Asia and the early maritime silk road. *Lost Kingdoms: Hindu-Buddhist Sculpture of Early Southeast Asia*.
- Bellwood, P. 2007. *Prehistory of the Indo-Malaysian Archipelago: revised edition*. Australia: ANU Press.
- Braddell, R. 1949. A note on Sambas and Borneo. *Journal of the Malayan Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society* 22(4) (150): 1-15.
- Borschberg, P. 2003. Portuguese, Spanish and Dutch plans to construct a fort in the Straits of Singapore, ca. 1584-1625. *Archipel* 65(1): 55-88.
- Cartier, C. 1999. Cosmopolitics and the maritime world city. *Geographical Review* 89(2): 278-289.
- Coben, L.A. 2015. The events that led to the Treaty of Tordesillas. *Terrae Incognitae* 47(2): 142-162.
- Case, W. 2013. Defending borders: States and nation-states in the ASEAN 5. *TRaNS: Trans-Regional and-National Studies of Southeast Asia* 1(1): 45-62.
- Davenport, T. 2014. Southeast Asian approaches to maritime boundaries. *Asian Journal of International Law* 4(2): 309-357.
- Desai, D.S. 1969. The Portuguese Administration in Malacca, 1511–1641. *Journal of Southeast Asian History* 10(3): 501-512.
- Drakeley, S. 2005. *The history of Indonesia*. USA: Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Ebralidze, L. 2021. Sea as a Multi-Meaning Symbol in Edgar Poe's Poetry. *Georgian Scientists* 3(4): 19-29.
- Embong, A.R. 2001. *Negara Bangsa: Proses dan Perbahasan: Bangi: Penerbit Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia*.
- Evers, H.D. 2016. Nusantara: history of a concept. *Journal of the Malaysian Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society* 89(1) (310): 3-14.
- Forster, R. 1978. Achievements of the Annales school. *The Journal of Economic History* 38(1): 58-76.
- Fukuyama, F. 1992. *The End of History*, New York: The Free Press.
- Harlaftis, G. 2020. Maritime history: A new version of the old version and the true history of the sea. *International Journal of Maritime History* 32(2): 383-402.
- Harper, T. 2013. Afterword: The Malay world, besides empire and nation. *Indonesia and the Malay World* 41(120): 273-290.
- Houben, V.J. 2003. Southeast Asia and Islam. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science* 588(1): 149-170.
- Hoffman, J.E. 1972. *Early Policies in the Malacca*

- Jurisdiction of the United East India Company: The Malay Peninsula and Netherlands East Indies Attachment. *Journal of Southeast Asian Studies* 3(1): 1-38.
- İrfanoğlu, E. & Öztürk, T. 2020. The Southeast Asia Regional System: Change and Continuity. *Cogito* 12(2): 126-149.
- Kampong Ayer Cultural & Tourism Gallery. 2024.
- Kanumoyoso, B. 2018. Malay maritime world in Southeast Asia. *Journal of Maritime Studies and National Integration* 2(1): 16-22.
- Karupiah, R. & Abdullah, M.F. 2022. Tuntutan Filipina ke atas Sabah kepada Malaysia, 1962–2010: Analisis dari Perspektif Filipina. *Akademika* 92(2): 169-185.
- Keling, M.F., Md Som, H., Saludin, M.N., Shuib, M.S. & Ajis, M.N.E. 2011. The development of ASEAN from historical approach. *Asian Social Science* 7(7): 169-189.
- Kim, G.H., Yun, J.H., Kwon, O.Y., Park, J.Y., & Nguyen, T.H. 2016. A study on the material and characteristics of glass beads from Oc Eo site, Vietnam. *MUNHWAJAE Korean Journal of Cultural Heritage Studies* 49(2): 158-171.
- Kivimäki, T. 2001. The long peace of ASEAN. *Journal of Peace Research* 38(1): 5-25.
- Kumar, S. & Siddique, S. 2008. *Southeast Asia: the diversity dilemma*. Select Publishing.
- Lokita, S. 2010. The Role of The Archipelagic Baselines In Maritime Boundary Delimitation. Division for Ocean Affairs and the Law of the Sea Office of Legal Affairs, The United Nations, New York.
- Man, N.M., Chi, N.T P., Wasino, W. & Hartatik, E.S. 2022. Ports, Maritime Networks, and Its Effect on the Development of the Ancient Kingdom of Southeast Asia. *Paramita: Historical Studies Journal* 32(2): 202-211.
- Makin, A. 2016. Unearthing Nusantara's concept of religious pluralism: harmonization and syncretism in Hindu-Buddhist and Islamic classical texts. *Al-Jami'ah: Journal of Islamic Studies* 54(1): 1-32.
- Matsuda, M.K. 2012. *Pacific worlds: a history of seas, peoples, and cultures*. Cambridge University Press.
- Mohd Daud, M.S.A., Abdullah, M.F., Mohd Noor, A., Mohamed Hassan, N. & Abd Wahab, N. 2024. Livestock Production in Kedah, Malaya (1909–1940): The Key to Achieving Food Security, Economic Growth, and Responsible Consumption Under the British. *Journal of International Studies* 20(1): 53-82.
- Ntona, M. 2023. Human Rights and Ocean Governance: The Potential of Marine Spatial Planning in Europe. Taylor & Francis.
- O'Reilly, D.J. 2007. *Early Civilizations of Southeast Asia*. Rowman Altamira.
- Ohmae, Kenichi. 1995. *The Ends of the Nation-State: The Rise of Regional Economics*, NewYork: The Free Press.
- Oppenheimer, S.J. 1998. *Eden in the East*. London: Weidenfeld & Nicolson
- Raj, D. 2022. Linkages: A brief description of the kingdoms of the Malay Archipelago and the kingdoms of Southeast Asia. Gerakbudaya Enterprise.
- Romadi, A.Z. 2022. Maritime policy during the Spanish, Portuguese, Dutch, and British occupation of Indonesia. *Journal of Nautical Eye and Strategic Studies* 2(2): 56-67.
- Roorda, E.P. (Ed.). 2020. *The ocean reader: history, culture, politics*. Duke University Press.
- Rustandi, E. 2023. Telaah Sejarah Maritim Islam Dengan Pendekatan Leksiko-Semantik. *Jurnal Tamaddun* 11(1): 1-10.
- Saleeby, N.M. 1908. *The history of Sulu* (Vol. 4). Bureau of printing.
- Saravanamuttu, J. 1986. Imperialism, dependent development and asean regionalism. *Journal of Contemporary Asia* 16(2): 204-222.
- Saunders, G. 2013. *A history of Brunei*. Routledge.
- Sen, T. 2014. *Maritime Southeast Asia between South Asia and China to the sixteenth century*. TRaNS: Trans-Regional and-National Studies of Southeast Asia 2(1): 31-59.
- Shaffer, Lynda Norene. (1996). *Maritime Southeast Asia to 1500*. New York.
- Seshan, R. (Ed.). 2016. *Narratives, routes and intersections in pre-modern Asia*. Taylor & Francis.
- Shukri, S.M., Wahab, M.H., Amat, R.C., Taib, I. & Ismail, S. 2018. The Morphology of Early Towns in Malay Peninsula. *International Journal of Engineering & Technology* 7(3.9):77-80.
- Simangunsong Bonar. 2015. *Laut, masa depan Indonesia*. Jakarta: Penerbit Gematama Wahyono