VOLUME 02 ISSUE 05 Pages: 5-15

SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2021: 5.14) (2022: 5.605)

OCLC - 1272874727 METADATA IF - 6.986















Publisher: Frontline Journals



Website: Journal https://frontlinejournal s.org/journals/index.ph p/fmspj

Copyright: Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the creative commons attributes 4.0 licence.



PHYSIOLOGICAL EFFECT OF PREGNANCY ON SOME RENAL **FUNCTION TESTS**

Submission Date: May 02, 2022, Accepted Date: May 11, 2022,

Published Date: May 24, 2022

Crossref doi: https://doi.org/10.37547/medical-fmspj-02-05-02

Ahmed H. AL-Hamdani College of Pharmacy, University of Mosul, Mosul, Iraq

ABSTRACT

Pregnancy associated with a variety of physiological consequences on several organs and bodily systems, including the renal system. These effects can be investigated us-ing biochemical measures that are useful in determining renal function. In a recent re-search, the blood of 82 healthy pregnant women was tested for three parameters: urea, uric acid, and creatinine. The women were separated into three subgroups based ac-cording to gestational age (first, second, and third trimester). As properly as, 33 curi-ously healthful non pregnant girls as a control group, in order to look at the physiolog-ical results of pregnancy on some renal feature checks as well as, identify the impact of gestational age on these laboratory parameters. It is determined that for the dura-tion of first and second trimesters of pregnancy, the suggest values of serum urea, uric acid, and creatinine was appreciably decrease in the pregnant crew than in controls. During the third trimester, the levels of serum urea, uric acid, and creatinine decreased nonsignificantly when compare to controls. The differences in values of serum uric acid, urea, and creatinine levels within different trimesters show a significant changes between the third trimester and the first and

VOLUME 02 ISSUE 05 Pages: 5-15

SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2021: 5.14) (2022: 5.605)

OCLC - 1272874727 METADATA IF - 6.986

















second trimesters, with third trimester being greater than the first and second trimesters, while the first and second tri-mesters appear no significant difference.

KEYWORDS

Creatinine, Urea, Renal, Pregnancy.

NTRODUCTION

Pregnancy is also termed as gravidity or gestation,(1) consider as a dynamic physiological state that occurs throughout the life of a It is the period during which a woman(2). woman's one or more offspring develop. From the last menstrual cycle [LMP] through birth, it lasts roughly 40 weeks(1,3). There are three trimesters in a typical pregnancy. The first trimester includes conception and the creation of the fetus and placenta and lasts from week one to week twelve(1). The second trimester is defined as weeks 13 to 28. About the middle of the second trimester, the fetus begins to move. The third trimester of pregnancy lasts from 29 to 40 weeks(1). Several organs and systems are affected by major physiological, anatomical, biochemical, and endo-crine alteration that could be occur during pregnancy. These changes are

necessary to promote the woman's adaptation to her pregnancy as well as the fetus growth and sur-vival(4). Part of these changes have an influence on normal biochemical outcomes, Others however, might be mistaken for indications of illness. It's crucial to distinguish naturally physiological between occurring changes and disease pathology(5). physiology and anatomy of the urinary tract and renal system are complicated by pregnancy(6). The kidneys are responsible for excreting end products and toxins sub-stances such as creatinine, urea, uric acid, in addition to controlling extracellular fluid [ECF] volume, serum osmolality, and electrolyte levels, and formation some hormones such as erythropoietin, active form of vitamin D, and rennin(7,8). Glomerular filtra-tion rate [GFR] and

VOLUME 02 ISSUE 05 Pages: 5-15

SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2021: 5.14) (2022: 5.605)

OCLC - 1272874727 METADATA IF - 6.986

















Renal plasma flow [RPF] both elevated, in contrast to non-pregnant levels, by using 50-85 and 40-65%, respectively as a consequence of renal vasodilatation(9). This increase in GFR is seen in humans as early as the first weeks after conception and generally lasts until the conclusion of the pregnancy(5). Kidney function may be investigate and assessed using a range of clinical laboratory tests. The concentrations of urea, creatinine, and uric acid in the serum can be usage to deter-mine renal function(10). Creatinine is a breakdown products of muscle creatine phos-phate in the body produce at a steady rate by the body relying on muscle mass(11). It is an endogenous marker for glomerular function rises(12). Creatinine levels can fluc-tuate because they are affected by function of the muscle, composition of the muscle, activity, food, and health, as well as muscle mass(13). The measurement of blood cre-atinine concentration is the usual method of assessing renal function in pregnancy, however no typical gestational values have been defined(14). The nitrogenous end product of amino acid and proteins degradation is urea; it is formed in the liver and distributed throughout the intracellular [ICF] and extracellular fluid [ECF]. The renal glomeruli, filtrate urea from the blood and

partially resorbed with water(15). In hu-man, uric acid is the fundamental product of catabolism of the purine, adenosine and guanosine. (16)

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The participants in this study were eighty-two seemingly healthy pregnant girls from Mosul's Alkhansaa Teaching Hospital, ranging in age from 18 to 41 years with an ay-erage age of 29. The control team used to be thirty-three healthful non-pregnant wom-en their ages between 17 to 40 years with a average age of 26. A whole history was taken from every pregnant female consisting of name, high, weight, age, job, parity, prior history of pregnancies. family, and medication usage. There were no kidney or other problems in any of the participants. According to gestational period, the preg-nant women were divided into three categories. The first subgroup consists of 22 healthy pregnant ladies in their first trimester. The second grouping consisted of 24 healthy pregnant ladies in their second trimester of pregnancy, while the third sub-group consisted of 36 healthy pregnant ladies. Anticubital venipuncture was used to take 5 ml of venous blood, which was then put in a plain tube and incubated at 37°C should enable the blood to clot

VOLUME 02 ISSUE 05 Pages: 5-15

SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2021: 5.14) (2022: 5.605)

OCLC - 1272874727 METADATA IF - 6.986

















for ten minutes, centrifugation at 3000 rpm for fifteen minutes was used to collect serum samples. The serum was gather inside disposable plain tube for creatinine, uric acid and urea determinations. The enzymatic approach utilized to detect serum urea was the Urease-modified Berthelot reaction utilizing kit (biomerieux/France)(17). Uricase an enzymatic technique utilizing kit (bi-omerieux/France) was used to estimate serum uric acid(18). The serum creatinine con-tent was determined using a colorimetric technique combined with deproteinization kit (Syrbio/France)(19).

All of the data findings was analyzed by the t-test. The standard deviation of all bio-chemical parameters was presented as [mean ± Stander deviation S.D]. The criterion for significance was $p \le 0.05(20)$.

RESULTS

In the recent research, the comparison of a mean values of some renal function tests between the control group and pregnant women within first trimester as seen in table (1), a significant reduction in serum urea, uric acid, and creatinine mean values was noticed in pregnant women within first trimester

 (4.433 ± 0.559) , (251.4 ± 24.2) , and (63.13 ± 5.34) respectively compared with control group (5.089 ± 0.520) , (271.8 ± 16.7) , and (71.84 ± 6.14) respectively.

The comparison of the mean values of some renal function tests between pregnant ladies in the second trimester of pregnancy and controls; as shown in table (2), a sig-nificant decline was noticed in the mean values of serum urea, uric acid, and creati-nine in pregnant ladies group in second stage of gestation (4.625±0.518), (254.1±26.0), and (65.05±5.47) respectively in (5.089±0.520), comparison with controls (271.8±16.7), and (71.84±6.14) respectively.

The differences in the mean values of these renal function tests between controls non pregnant women and pregnant within third trimester; as seen in table (3), a non-significant decline in the mean values of serum urea, uric acid, and creatinine was not-ed in pregnant within third of trimester (4.889±0.431), gestation (269.1 ± 18.0) , and (68.92 ± 6.63) respectively as with controls $(5.089\pm0.520),$ compared (271.8±16.7), and (71.84±6.14) respectively.

VOLUME 02 ISSUE 05 Pages: 5-15

SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2021: 5.14) (2022: 5.605)

OCLC - 1272874727 METADATA IF - 6.986

















The gestational age effects on some renal function tests (urea, uric acid, and creati-nine) was shown in table (4,5,6).

A comparison between the results of some renal function tests in pregnant women within first and second trimester show that the serum urea, uric acid as well as, creati-nine in pregnant ladies within the second stage of gestation is slightly higher than the first stage but its statistically not significant; as seen in table (4).

The variation of serum urea, uric acid, and creatinine between pregnant groups within (second and third) and (first and third) trimester of gestation as shown in table (5,6); there was a significant elevation in the mean values of serum urea, uric acid, and creatinine in pregnant ladies within third stage of gestation as compared with first and second stage.

Table 1. Comparison of some renal function tests between control and pregnant groups within first trimester.

| Parameters | Mean ± S.D | | P-value |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|---------|
| | Control group (n=33) | first Trimester (n=22) | |
| Serum Urea (mmol/L) | 5.089±0.520 | 4.433±0.559 | 0.0001 |
| Serum Uric Acid (µmol/L) | 271.8 ±16.7 | 251.4±24.2 | 0.0005 |
| Serum Creatinine (µmol/L) | 71.84±6.14 | 63.13±5.34 | 0.0001 |

VOLUME 02 ISSUE 05 Pages: 5-15

SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2021: 5.14) (2022: 5.605)

OCLC - 1272874727 METADATA IF - 6.986













10

Table 2. Comparison of some renal function tests between control and pregnant groups in second trimester.

| Parameters | Mean ± S.D | | P-value |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| | Control group (n=33) | second trimester (n=24) | |
| Serum Urea (mmol/L) | 5.089±0.520 | 4.625±0.518 | 0.0015 |
| Serum Uric Acid (µmol/L) | 271.8 ±16.7 | 254.1±26.0 | 0.0027 |
| Serum Creatinine (µmol/L) | 71.84±6.14 | 65.05±5.47 | 0.0001 |

Table 3. Comparison of some renal function tests between controland pregnant groups in third trimester.

| Parameters | Mean ± S.D | | P-value |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|---------|
| | Control group (n=33) | third Trimester (n=36) | |
| Serum Urea (mmol/L) | 5.089±0.520 | 4.889±0.431 | 0.086 |
| Serum Uric Acid (µmol/L) | 271.8 ±16.7 | 269.1 ±18.0 | 0.52 |
| Serum Creatinine (µmol/L) | 71.84±6.14 | 68.92±6.63 | 0.062 |

Table 4. Comparison of some renal function tests between pregnant groups within trimesters firstand second.

| Parameters | Mean ± S.D | | P-value |
|------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| | first Trimester (n=22) | second trimester (n=24) | |
| Serum Urea (mmol/L) | 4.433±0.559 | 4.625±0.518 | 0.23 |
| Serum Uric Acid (µmol/L) | 251.4±24.2 | 254.1±26.0 | 0.72 |
| Serum Creatinine (µmol/L) | 63.13±5.34 | 65.05±5.47 | 0.23 |

Volume 02 Issue 05-2022

VOLUME 02 ISSUE 05 Pages: 5-15

SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2021: 5.14) (2022: 5.605)

OCLC - 1272874727 METADATA IF - 6.986















Publisher: Frontline Journals

Table 5. Comparison of some renal function tests between pregnant groups within trimesters secondand third.

| Parameters | Mean ± S.D | | P-value |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|---------|
| | second trimester (n=24) | third Trimester (n=36) | |
| Serum Urea (mmol/L) | 4.625±0.518 | 4.889±0.431 | 0.036 |
| Serum Uric Acid (µmol/L) | 254.1±26.0 | 269.1 ±18.0 | 0.01 |
| Serum Creatinine (µmol/L) | 65.05±5.47 | 68.92±6.63 | 0.021 |

Table 6. Comparison of some renal function tests between pregnant groups within trimesters first and third.

| Parameters | Mean ± S.D | | P-value |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|---------|
| | first Trimester (n=22) | third Trimester (n=36) | |
| Serum Urea (mmol/L) | 4.433±0.559 | 4.889±0.431 | 0.001 |
| Serum Uric Acid (µmol/L) | 251.4±24.2 | 269.1 ±18.0 | 0.0023 |
| Serum Creatinine (µmol/L) | 63.13±5.34 | 68.92±6.63 | 0.001 |

Discussion

The recent findings revealed that in the first and second trimester of gestation; serum urea, uric and creatinine concentrations were acid. markedly decrease in the pregnant group than in the controls. According to the results; serum creatinine, uric acid as well as, urea concentration

throughout the third trimester are higher than first and second trimester but still lower than non pregnant ladies group, in spite of the reduction being statistically insignificant in contrast to the control group. The findings were consistent with Macdonald and Good(1971),(21) Dunlop and findings(1977),(22) Davison's Korda and Horvath,(1979)(23) AL-Hamdani. I. Н.

VOLUME 02 ISSUE 05 Pages: 5-15

SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2021: 5.14) (2022: 5.605)

OCLC - 1272874727 METADATA IF - 6.986

















(2006)(24). This findings can be explained that the plasma volume increases during pregnancy, as does GFR in the ear-ly stages(25,26). These alterations in GFR and plasma volume may explain why the clearance of urea, uric acid, and creatinine initially increased(21,27), therefore, all these biochemical parameters are thus somewhat decreased in serum for most of the pregnancy. Lower maternal plasma uric acid and urea levels come from a positive pu-rine and protein balance during fetal growth, as well as, an increase in GFR and he-modilution effect(28,2). The GFR begins to decline in third trimester, non-pregnant levels(29). approaching to resulting in a small increase in blood urea and creatinine con-centrations in the latter weeks of pregnancy. Tubular uric acid reabsorption increases dramatically(29) during this time, leading to elevated serum uric acid levels. Additionally, As plasma volume decreases, RPF to the secretary site decreases, resulting in a decrease in uric acid release from the tubule's proximal and distal sections (21,30,31). This is due to elevate of serum uric acid levels during last pregnancy duration. These results were in agreement with Obodo etal. (2016) (32). In human serum, uric acid is responsible for around 60% of free radical scavenging action(33). Uric acid, as a re-sult,

might be used as a marker for oxidative stress [OS] tissue damage dysfunction. Serum uric acid levels are low during uncomplicated pregnancies. concentrations fall by around 25% to 35% in the first trimester, but subsequently rise throughout the pregnancy until they reach non-pregnant levels near the end(34).

According to the findings, pregnancy affects serum urea, uric acid, and creatinine val-ues with first stage of pregnancy being more affected than the second and third phas-es.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank the College of Pharmacy/ University of Mosul in Mo-sul city for their wonderful assistance in performing this research.

REFERENCES

- 1. Condition Information. Pregnancy; http://www.nichd.nih.gov/. December 19, 2013. External link in |website= (help) Retrieved 14 March, 2015.
- 2. Dilipkumar M Kava, Hasit D Lad., Comparative study of assessment of renal func-tion in pregnant women with non-

Volume 02 Issue 05-2022

VOLUME 02 ISSUE 05 Pages: 5-15

SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2021: 5.14) (2022: 5.605)

OCLC - 1272874727 METADATA IF - 6.986















Publisher: Frontline Journals

- pregnant women. Med Pulse International Journal of Biochemistry. September 2019;11(3):90-95.
- 3. Abman, Steven H., Fetal and neonatal physiology (4th ed.). Philadelphia: Elsevier/Saunders, 2011; 46–47.
- Yanamandra N, Chandraharan E (n.d)., 4. Anatomical and physiological changes in pregnancy and their implications in clinical practice. In (pp. 1-8) Doi.10.1017/CB09780511842153.002
- 5. Priya Soma-Pillay, Catherine Nelson-Piercy, Heli Tolppanen, Alexandre Physiological changes in Mebazaa., pregnancy. Cardiovascular Journal of Africa. Volume 27, No 2, March/April 2016:89-94 AFRICA.
- David Ind, RN, BN, BSc, MEd., Pregnancy 6. and Renal Function: Coordinator Nephrology Nursing Program, The Queen Elizabeth Hospital Renal Society of Australasia Journal // July 2007 Vol 3 No: 2: 47-49.
- 7. Okoro RN, Farate VT., The use of nephrotoxic drugs in patients with chronic kidney disease. Int J Clin Pharm., 2019 Jun;41(3):767-775. [PubMed]

- 8. Nwose EU, Obianke J, Richards RS, Bwitit PT, Igumbor EO., Prevalence and correlations of hepato renal functions in and cardiovascular diabetes disease among strati-fied adults. Acta Biomed., 2019 Jan 22;90(1):97-103. [PMC free article] [PubMed]
- 9. Cheung KL, Lafayette RA., Renal physiology of pregnancy. Adv Chronic Kidney Dis., 2013; 20(3): 209-214.
- **10**. Livingston JR, Payne B, Brown M, et al., Uric Acid as a predictor of adverse maternal and perinatal outcomes in women hospitalized with preeclampsia. I Obstet Gy-naecolCan., 2014; 36: 870-7...
- 11. Yuegang Z, Chengiun W et al., Simultaneous Determination of Creatinine and Uric Acid in Human Urine by High Performance Liquid Chromatography. Anal Sci., 2008; 24: 1589-1592.
- **12.** Gounden V, Bhatt H, Jialal I., Renal Function Tests. 2021 Jul 20. In: Stat Pearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): Stat Pearls Publishing; 2022 Jan-. PMID: 29939598.
- **13.** Banfi G, Del F., Serum creatinine values in elite athletes competing in 8 different

Volume 02 Issue 05-2022

VOLUME 02 ISSUE 05 Pages: 5-15

SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2021: 5.14) (2022: 5.605)

OCLC - 1272874727 METADATA IF - 6.986















Publisher: Frontline Journals

- sports: comparison with sedentary people. Clin Chem., 2006; 52: 330-331.
- **14.** Bonala Sharat Babu, Azmatulla Shaik, Naveed Altaf, Md. Siddique Ahmed Khan, A Comparative Study of Serum Creatinine, Serum Uric Acid and Blood Urea in Normal Pregnant and Pregnancy Induced Hypertensive Subject; European Journal of Molecular and Clinical Medicine. ISSN 2515-8260 Volume 09, Issue 03, 2022.
- **15**. Laboratory tests Corbett IV., and diagnostic procedures with nursing diagnoses. 7th Ed., 2008; 90-107.
- 16. Murray RK., Granner DK., Mayes PA., and Rodwell VW., Harpers Illustrated Biochemistry. 26th ed., McGraw-Hill., 2003: 243-299.
- **17.** Fawcett JK. and Scotte JE., A rapid and Precise Method for Determination of Urea J. Clin. Path., 1960 13: 156-157.
- 18. Dahram D and Trinder P., The Estimation of Uric Acid. Analyst., 1972, 97: 142-145.
- 19. Henry RJ., Clinical Chemistry Principles and Technique, second ed., Harper and Row., 1974: 543.
- 20. Armitage P. and Berry G., Statistical methods in medical research.second ed., 1985Blackwell, Oxford, London, UK.

- 21. Macdonald HN. and Good W., Changes in Plasma Total Protein, Albumine, Urea. and α-amino nitrogen Concentration in Pregnancy and the Puerperium., J. Obset. Gy-necol. Br. Common Wealth., 1971; 78: 912-917.
- 22. Dunlop W. and Davison JM., The Effect of Normal Pregnancy upon the Renal Handing of Uric Acid. Br. J. Obstet. Gynecol., 1977; 84: 13-21.
- 23. Korda AR. and Horvath JS., Renal Physiology, seconded., London, Black Well Scientific Publication., 1979:376-409.
- AL-Hamdani I. H., Measurement of 24. Serum Uric Acid, Urea and Creatinine in Pregnant Women, The Medical Journal of Tikrit University., 2006, Volume 2, Issue 122, Pages 31-35.
- 25. Davison JM., J. Obstet. Gynecol. Changes in Renal Function and Other Aspect of Homeostasis in Early Pregnancy., Br. Comm., 1974;18: 1003.
- **26.** Moran P, Baylis PH, Lindheimer MD and Davison IM., Glomerular Ultra Filtra-tion Normal in and Preeclampsia., J. Am. Soc. Nephrology., 2003;14 (3): 648-652.

Volume 02 Issue 05-2022

VOLUME 02 ISSUE 05 Pages: 5-15

SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2021: 5.14) (2022: 5.605)

OCLC - 1272874727 METADATA IF - 6.986















Publisher: Frontline Journals

- 27. King JC., Physiology of Pregnancy and Nutrient Metabolism., Am. J. of Clinical Nutrition., 2000;71(5): 1218-1225.
- **28**. Zilva JF, Pannal PR and Mayne PD., Clinical Chemistry in Diagnosis and Treat-ment .5thed., Edward Arnold., 1988:18,147.
- 29. Burtis CA and Ashwood ER., Tietz -Text Book of Clinical Chemistry" third ed., W.B. Saunders Company, 1999: 1239-1250.
- 30. Dennen FR, Martinez-Ocana J, Kawa-Karasik S, Villanueva-Egan L, Reyes-Paredes N and Olivo-Diaz A. Comparison of hemodynamic, biochemical and hema-tological parameters of healthy pregnant women in the third trimester of pregnancy and the active labor phase. BioMed Central Pregnancy and Childbirth, 2011; 11:33.
- 31. Tran HA., Biochemical tests in pregnancy. Australian Prescriber., 2005; (28): 98-101.
- 32. Obodo B N, Ebadan MI, Omijie BE, Agbonghai C. and Unuane RR., Comparative Study of Age Variations and Human Serum Creatinine, Urea and Uric Acid Levels in Pregnant Women at

- Different Trimesters of Pregnancy International Journal of Basic, Applied and Innovative Research IJBAIR., 2016; 5(3): 114 –119.
- 33. Ogueh O, Clough A, Hancock M, et al., A longitudinal study of the control of renal and uterine hemodynamic changes of pregnancy. Hypertens Pregnancy., 2011; 30: 243–259.
- Vazquez-Rodriguez JG (2011)., Role of 34. serum uric acid in pre-eclampsia. Gynecoland Obstet Mex,79;292-297.Diagnostic research: JCDR. 2014 Jan; 8(1): 80.

Volume 02 Issue 05-2022