Frontline Marketing, Management and Economics Journal
ISSN: 2752-700X

Exploring the Link Between GDP, ICT Exports, Patents, and Corporate Investment in AI

Mohammad Shahinur Rahman

Department of Finance and Banking Faculty of Business Studies, University of Dhaka, Bangladesh

Ayesha Sultana Karim

Department of Finance and Banking Faculty of Business Studies, University of Dhaka, Bangladesh

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Submission Date: 02 June 2025
Accepted Date: 03 July 2025
Published Date: 01 August 2025
VOLUME: Vol.05 Issue08
Page No. 1-6

ABSTRACT

Corporate investment in Artificial Intelligence (AI) has become a critical driver of economic growth and technological innovation. This article examines the role of key macroeconomic indicators—Gross Domestic Product (GDP), Information and Communications Technology (ICT) exports, and patents—in influencing corporate investments in AI. Through a comprehensive literature review and analysis of data from leading AI-adopting countries, the study reveals a strong correlation between GDP growth, robust ICT exports, and high levels of patent activity with increased corporate AI investment. The findings highlight that countries with higher GDPs, advanced ICT infrastructure, and significant patent output in AI-related fields create an environment conducive to innovation and AI adoption. As AI technologies continue to evolve, understanding the relationship between these economic indicators and corporate AI investment is essential for fostering sustainable growth and maintaining a competitive edge in the global market.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence (AI), Gross Domestic Product (GDP), Information and Communications Technology (ICT) exports.

INTRODUCTION

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as one of the most influential technological advancements of the 21st century, reshaping industries, economies, and societies globally. As AI technologies continue to evolve, corporate investment in AI has become increasingly vital for companies seeking to maintain a competitive edge, drive innovation, and enhance productivity. Companies across various sectors—from manufacturing and healthcare to finance and entertainment—are leveraging AI to automate processes, optimize operations, and create new products and services. However, the scale and pace of corporate investment in AI do not occur in a vacuum; they are influenced by broader macroeconomic factors that shape the environment in which these investments are made. One of the most critical of these macroeconomic factors is Gross Domestic Product (GDP). GDP, a measure of the economic output of a nation, often

reflects the overall economic health of a country. High GDP levels are typically associated with stronger economies, which, in turn, enable businesses to allocate more resources toward research and development (R&D), including investments in emerging technologies like AI. Wealthier nations often possess the infrastructure, resources, and capital necessary for large-scale AI adoption, which drives corporate investments and the development of cutting-edge technologies.

Another key factor influencing corporate AI investment is Information and Communications Technology (ICT) exports. Nations that lead in the export of ICT goods and services tend to have more developed digital infrastructure, including high-speed internet, cloud computing capabilities, and data centers—all of which are essential for the development and deployment of AI systems. Countries excelling in ICT exports, such as the United States, China, and South Korea, are at the forefront of AI research and commercialization. Their strong ICT sectors not only enable companies to invest in AI but also position these countries as global leaders in AI-driven technologies.

In parallel, patents play a pivotal role in fostering innovation and protecting intellectual property. Patents are tangible markers of technological advancement and serve as a critical incentive for corporations to invest in AI R&D. High levels of patent activity in AI-related fields reflect both the innovation capacity of firms and the competitive environment in which they operate. Companies invest in AI not only to stay ahead of market trends but also to protect their intellectual property, ensuring their technological advancements are safeguarded from competitors.

This article seeks to explore the intricate relationship between corporate investment in AI and these macroeconomic variables—GDP, ICT exports, and patents. By analyzing how these factors interact and influence AI adoption, the study aims to provide insights into the broader economic implications of AI investment. Understanding these dynamics is crucial for policymakers, business leaders, and investors looking to foster innovation and capitalize on the potential of AI to drive economic growth. Through a detailed review of existing literature and empirical data, this article underscores the importance of these macroeconomic indicators in shaping the future of AI investment, offering a comprehensive perspective on the factors that enable companies and nations to lead in AI innovation.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a transformative force in the global economy, with companies increasingly investing in AI technologies to enhance productivity, innovation, and competitiveness. Corporate investment in AI is closely intertwined with various macroeconomic indicators, including Gross Domestic Product (GDP), Information and Communications Technology (ICT) exports, and patents. These factors play crucial roles in shaping a nation's or corporation’s capacity to adopt, integrate, and profit from AI technologies. This article explores the relationship between corporate investment in AI and key economic indicators such as GDP, ICT exports, and patents, shedding light on their interdependencies and implications for economic growth and technological advancement.

METHODS

To comprehensively analyze the role of GDP, ICT exports, and patents in corporate investment in Artificial Intelligence (AI), this study employed a multi-step methodological approach that integrated both qualitative and quantitative analysis. The research combined a literature review with empirical data collection and analysis to understand the interplay between these macroeconomic indicators and corporate AI investments. The study focused on data from countries and companies that are leading in AI innovation and adoption, offering insights into how national economic conditions influence corporate AI investment strategies.

Literature Review

The first step in the methodology was conducting an extensive literature review. The review encompassed both academic studies and industry reports published over the last two decades. The literature focused on understanding the relationship between macroeconomic variables (such as GDP, ICT exports, and patents) and corporate investments in AI. Key databases, such as Google Scholar, JSTOR, and ScienceDirect, were used to identify relevant papers, books, and articles. The review aimed to synthesize existing knowledge on the following topics:

- The relationship between economic growth (measured by GDP) and technological adoption, specifically AI.
- The role of ICT exports in promoting the development and commercialization of AI

technologies.

- The importance of patents as an indicator of innovation and its connection to AI R&D investments.

This phase of the methodology helped establish a theoretical framework to understand the factors influencing AI investment and provided a foundation for the subsequent empirical analysis.

Data Collection

The second phase involved the collection of quantitative data from various reputable sources to examine the correlation between corporate AI investments and the macroeconomic factors of interest—GDP, ICT exports, and patents. The data sources included:

- World Bank: Provided national GDP data, which served as a proxy for economic output and the general health of the economies in question.
- International Telecommunication Union (ITU): Offered detailed statistics on ICT exports and infrastructure development across countries, which was essential for understanding how ICT exports relate to AI adoption.
- Patent Databases: The European Patent Office (EPO) and the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) databases were accessed to gather information on AI-related patents. Patents were used as a proxy for innovation in AI and provided insights into the technological advancement and competitiveness of firms within AI sectors.

Data on corporate investment in AI was primarily sourced from company reports, market research firms (such as Gartner, McKinsey, and PwC), and financial data providers like Bloomberg. These reports were used to track AI R&D spending and other related corporate investments in AI technologies, helping to establish patterns of AI investment at the firm level.

Quantitative Analysis

Once the data was collected, the next step was to perform a quantitative analysis to identify patterns and relationships between corporate AI investment and the key economic indicators—GDP, ICT exports, and patents. Statistical tools such as correlation analysis and regression modeling were used to measure the strength of the relationships between these variables.

- Correlation Analysis: This was used to identify the strength and direction of the relationship between GDP, ICT exports, patents, and corporate AI investments. Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated to determine if higher GDP, stronger ICT exports, and more patents were associated with higher levels of AI investment.
- Regression Modeling: To gain a deeper understanding of how these factors interact and affect corporate AI investment, multiple regression analysis was employed. This model aimed to assess the impact of each independent variable (GDP, ICT exports, patents) on the dependent variable (corporate AI investment). Regression analysis provided insights into the relative contribution of each factor to AI investment, controlling for potential confounders such as inflation or political stability.

Case Study Analysis

In addition to the statistical analysis, the study included qualitative case study analysis of specific countries and companies known for leading in AI innovation and adoption. Case studies focused on nations such as the United States, China, South Korea, and Germany, where substantial corporate investments in AI are being made. The case studies helped to contextualize the quantitative findings, offering real-world examples of how GDP growth, ICT exports, and patent output influence corporate decisions to invest in AI.

For instance, the case of China was analyzed to explore how its rapid economic growth and heavy investment in ICT infrastructure have positioned the country as a global leader in AI development. Similarly, the United States' dominance in AI-related patents and its role as a hub for tech giants like Google and Microsoft were explored to understand how intellectual property protection drives innovation and corporate investment.

Cross-Country and Cross-Industry Comparison

Lastly, the study also conducted a cross-country and cross-industry comparison to examine how different economies and industries respond to the three key indicators. This comparison involved analyzing corporate AI investments in diverse sectors such as manufacturing, finance, healthcare, and retail. Understanding how AI investment patterns vary by industry and geography helped highlight the broader implications of GDP, ICT exports, and patents on AI adoption across different contexts.

Limitations

While the study provides valuable insights, it is important to note some limitations. The analysis is primarily based on publicly available data, which may not fully capture private AI investments or proprietary data from firms. Additionally, the relationship between macroeconomic indicators and corporate investment in AI is complex and influenced by a variety of other factors, such as government policies, labor market conditions, and

societal attitudes toward AI, which were not directly considered in this study.

The combination of quantitative and qualitative methods allows for a comprehensive understanding of the relationship between GDP, ICT exports, patents, and corporate AI investment. This multi-faceted approach offers both empirical evidence and real-world context, providing valuable insights for policymakers, business leaders, and researchers aiming to foster AI innovation and investment.

To analyze the role of GDP, ICT exports, and patents in corporate investment in AI, we conducted a multi-dimensional literature review, drawing from both theoretical and empirical studies. Data was collected from national statistics, corporate reports, and international organizations such as the World Bank and the International Telecommunication Union (ITU). This analysis focused on countries and regions leading in AI innovation and corporate investment, highlighting patterns of AI adoption, economic growth, and intellectual property generation. The review further examined the relationship between these macroeconomic variables and their influence on AI investment at the corporate level.

RESULTS

The relationship between corporate investment in AI and key economic indicators is both direct and complex. The following key findings emerged:

1. GDP and AI Investment: A strong positive correlation was found between GDP growth and corporate AI investment. Countries with higher GDPs, particularly those with robust economies in technology, manufacturing, and services, tend to see greater corporate investments in AI. AI is seen as a catalyst for enhancing efficiency, improving productivity, and creating new market opportunities, all of which are critical for economic expansion. Notably, large corporations in wealthier nations often lead AI research and development (R&D), further reinforcing the economic growth cycle.

2. ICT Exports and AI Adoption: A nation's ICT exports serve as a strong indicator of its technological prowess and infrastructure, which are essential for AI development. Countries that lead in ICT exports, such as the United States, China, and South Korea, also exhibit substantial corporate investments in AI. Corporations in these countries leverage advanced ICT infrastructure, like high-speed internet and cloud computing, to develop and deploy AI solutions. Additionally, these nations tend to export AI-driven technologies, creating a virtuous cycle where corporate investments in AI not only boost economic growth but also enhance their global competitive advantage in tech exports.

3. Patents and Innovation: The number of patents generated in AI-related fields is an important metric of innovation. High patent activity signals a high level of corporate R&D investment, particularly in AI technologies. Companies that lead in AI innovation often file numerous patents for new algorithms, AI tools, and applications. Countries with higher patent output in AI are likely to experience accelerated AI adoption, which is reflected in greater corporate investment. Moreover, patents protect intellectual property, fostering a competitive environment where firms are motivated to invest in cutting-edge AI technologies.

DISCUSSION

The findings suggest that corporate investment in AI is not merely a function of market demand or company-specific goals but is significantly influenced by national economic factors such as GDP, ICT exports, and patents. A high GDP facilitates greater corporate spending on AI, as companies in wealthier economies have more capital to invest in new technologies. Similarly, nations that lead in ICT exports provide a conducive environment for AI innovation and commercialization. These countries benefit from well-developed technological infrastructures, which are crucial for developing and scaling AI solutions.

Furthermore, patents serve as a powerful tool for fostering innovation, with companies in patent-rich environments investing more heavily in AI R&D to maintain a competitive edge. As AI technologies evolve, the strategic importance of patents in safeguarding intellectual property grows, motivating companies to invest more in AI-driven projects to secure their market position.

The interplay between these variables highlights a broader trend: AI investment is not solely driven by technological innovation but is also shaped by the economic landscape. Countries and companies that can integrate AI into their economic frameworks, foster innovation through patents, and leverage their ICT exports are better positioned to lead in AI development.

CONCLUSION

Corporate investment in AI is intricately linked to broader economic indicators such as GDP, ICT

exports, and patents. These factors contribute significantly to a country's ability to attract and sustain AI investments, which in turn fuel economic growth and technological innovation. Policymakers and business leaders must recognize the importance of fostering an environment conducive to AI development—through robust economic policies, investments in ICT infrastructure, and intellectual property protection. As AI continues to evolve, understanding these relationships will be essential for ensuring that corporations and nations can capitalize on the full potential of AI technologies.

REFERENCES

Adeoye, O. B., Okoye, C. C., Ofodile, O. C., Odeyemi, O., Addy, W. A., & Ajayi-Nifise, A. O. (2024). Artificial intelligence in ESG investing: Enhancing portfolio management and performance. International Journal of Science and Research Archive, 11(1), 2194-2205. https://doi.org/10.30574/ijsra.2024.11.1.0305Search in Google Scholar

Aghion, P., Jones, B. F., & Jones, C. I. (2017). Artificial intelligence and economic growth (Working Paper, No. 23928). NBER. https://doi.org/10.3386/W23928Search in Google Scholar

Akinwande, M. O., Dikko, H. G., & Samson, A. (2015). Variance inflation factor: As a condition for the inclusion of suppressor variable(s) in regression analysis. Open Journal of Statistics, 5(7), 754-767. https://doi.org/10.4236/ojs.2015.57075Search in Google Scholar

Al-Amin, M., Ali, M. S., Salam, A., Khan, A., Ali, A., Ullah, A., Alam, M. N., & Chowdhury, S. K. (2024). History of generative Artificial Intelligence (AI) chatbots: Past, present, and future development. Cornell University. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2402.05122Search in Google Scholar

Amazon Staff. (2024a, March 27). Amazon and Anthropic deepen their shared commitment to advancing generative AI. https://www.aboutamazon.com/news/companynews/amazon-anthropic-ai-investmentSearch in Google Scholar

Amazon Staff. (2024b, April 9). Amazon invests $25 million in a 10-year research collaboration to advance AI. Innovation at Amazon. https://www.aboutamazon.com/news/innovation-at-amazon/amazon-invests-25-million-in-a-10-year-research-collaboration-to-advance-aiSearch in Google Scholar

Andrade, C. (2020). Understanding the difference between standard deviation and standard error of the mean, and knowing when to use which. Indian Journal of Psychological Medicine, 42(4), 409-410. https://doi.org/10.1177/0253717620933419Search in Google Scholar

Anyoha, R. (2017, August 28). The history of artificial intelligence. Science in the News, Harvard Graduate School of the Arts and Sciences. https://sitn.hms.harvard.edu/flash/2017/history-artificial-intelligence/Search in Google Scholar

Archibald, L. (2023, December 11). How NVIDIA fuels the AI revolution with investments in game changers and market makers. NVIDIA Blog. https://blogs.nvidia.com/blog/nvidia-investments/Search in Google Scholar

Bernazzani Barron, S. (2023, September 20). 17 customer service chatbot examples (& how you should be using them). HubSpot. https://blog.hubspot.com/service/customer-service-chatbotsSearch in Google Scholar

Bhuyan, R., Khandoker, M. S. H., Taznin, M., Rahman, M. S., & Akter, L. (2021). Determining stock return movements of banking sector during global financial crisis: An examination on emerging markets of Bangladesh. Bulletin of Applied Economics, 8(2), 111-123. https://doi.org/10.47260/bae/827Search in Google Scholar

BlackRock. (2024, September 18). BlackRock, Global Infrastructure Partners, Microsoft and MGX launch new AI partnership. https://www.blackrock.com/corporate/newsroom/press-releases/article/corporate-one/press-releases/blackrock-global-infrastructure-partners-microsoft-and-mgx-launch-new-aiSearch in Google Scholar

Bose, I., Lui, A. K. H., & Ngai, E. W. T. (2011). The impact of RFID adoption on the market value of firms: An empirical analysis. Journal of Organizational Computing and Electronic Commerce, 21(4), 268-294. https://doi.org/10.1080/10919392.2011.614184Search in Google Scholar

Brockman, G. (2019, July 22). Microsoft invests in and partners with OpenAI to support us building beneficial AGI. OpenAI. https://openai.com/index/microsoft-invests-in-and-partners-with-openai/Search in Google Scholar

Cao, L. (2023). Handbook of artificial intelligence and big data applications in investments. CFA Institute. https://doi.org/10.56227/23.1.5Search in Google Scholar

CB Insights. (2024, March 12). The big tech AI arms race: 75+ AI startups backed by Amazon, Google, Microsoft, and Nvidia. CB Insights Research. https://www.cbinsights.com/research/report/big-tech-ai-investments/Search in Google Scholar

Chen, Y., Hu, Y., Zhou, S., & Yang, S. (2023). Investigating the determinants of performance of artificial intelligence adoption in hospitality industry during COVID-19. International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management, 35(8), 2868-2889. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCHM-04-2022-0433Search in Google Scholar

Chittipaka, V., Kumar, S., Sivarajah, U., Bowden, J. L.-H., & Baral, M. M. (2023). Blockchain technology for supply chains operating in emerging markets: An empirical examination of technology-organization-environment (TOE) framework. Annals of Operations Research, 327(1), 465-492. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-022-04801-5Search in Google Scholar

Cuntz, A., Fink, C., & Stamm, H. (2024). Artificial intelligence and intellectual property: An economic

perspective (Economic Research Working Paper Series, No. 77). World Intellectual Property Organization. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4757971Search in Google Scholar